arXiv:1411.7137v1 [math.CV] 26 Nov 2014

SMOOTH APPROXIMATION OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON ALMOST COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

F. REESE HARVEY, H. BLAINE LAWSON, JR. AND SZYMON PLIŚ

Abstract

This note establishes smooth approximation from above for J-plurisubharmonic functions on an almost complex manifold (X, J). The following theorem is proved. Suppose X is J-pseudoconvex, i.e., X admits a smooth strictly J-plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. Let u be an (upper semi-continuous) J-plurisubharmonic function on X. Then there exists a sequence $u_j \in C^\infty(X)$ of smooth strictly J-plurisubharmonic functions point-wise decreasing down to u.

In any almost complex manifold (X, J) each point has a fundamental neighborhood system of J-pseudoconvex domains, and so the theorem above establishes local smooth approximation on X.

This result was proved in complex dimension 2 by the third author, who also showed that the result would hold in general dimensions if a parallel result for continuous approximation were known. This paper establishes the required step by solving the obstacle problem.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.

2. The Obstacle Problem and Continuous Approximation in for General Potential Theories.

3. Strict Continuous Approximation of Plurisubharmonic Functions on Almost Complex Manifolds.

4. Strict Smooth Approximation of Plurisubharmonic Functions on Almost Complex Manifolds.

Appendix A. Affine Jet-Equivalence.

Appendix B. Σ_m-Subharmonic Functions

Date: November 27, 2014.

The second author was partially supported by the NSF and IHES, and the third author was partially supported by the NCN grants 2011/01/D/ST1/04192 and 2013/08/A/ST1/00312.

1. Introduction.

On any smooth almost complex manifold (X, J) there is a well-defined notion of J-plurisubharmonic functions of class C^2, namely those $u \in C^2(X)$ which satisfy the condition $i\partial\overline{\partial}u \geq 0$. This notion extends directly to the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ by requiring the current $i\partial\overline{\partial}u$ to be positive. It also extends to the space $\mathrm{USC}(X)$ of upper semi-continuous functions $u : X \to [-\infty, \infty)$ in several ways – using viscosity theory, or by requiring that the restrictions to J-holomorphic curves in X be subharmonic. These different extensions have been shown to be, in a precise sense, equivalent (see [16], [12]), and the space of such functions is denoted by $\mathrm{PSH}(X, J)$.

We say that a function $u \in C^2(X)$ is **strictly** J-plurisubharmonic if $i\partial\overline{\partial}u > 0$ at every point. The manifold X is then said to be **J-pseudoconvex** if it admits a smooth (proper) exhaustion function $\rho : X \to \mathbb{R}$ which is strictly J-plurisubharmonic. (See Remark 3.7 for other equivalent definitions.)

The main point of this paper is to establish the following (in §4).

THEOREM 4.1. (C^∞ Strict Approximation). *Suppose (X, J) is an almost complex manifold which is J-pseudoconvex, and let $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, J)$ be a J-plurisubharmonic function. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $\{u_j\} \subset C^\infty(X)$ of smooth strictly J-plurisubharmonic functions such that $u_j(x) \downarrow u(x)$ at each $x \in X$.*

Now on any almost complex manifold X every point x has a fundamental neighborhood system of J-pseudoconvex domains – namely, small balls about x in appropriate local coordinates. Consequently, as a special case of Theorem 4.1 we have local C^∞ strict approximation on X (see Corollary 4.2).

By this local regularization result a current $i\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}v$ defined in [18] is a positive current for plurisubharmonic u, v in the Sobolev class $W^{1,2}_{loc}$, in particular for bounded plurisubharmonic u, v (see Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 5.2 there and compare with Corollary 2 in [19]). For an application of our global regularization result see Corollary 4.3, which concerns hulls of sets.

We note that in the case of plurisubharmonic functions on domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, smoothing as in Theorem 4.1 is possible on all pseudoconvex, Reinhardt, and tube domains (see [7]), but there are smooth domains where not all plurisubharmonic functions are a limits of a decreasing sequence of smooth plurisubharmonic functions (see [6]).

Theorem 4.1 was proved in complex dimension 2 by the third author (in [19]), who pointed out that his work would establish the result in general

dimensions provided one could prove a certain parallel *continuous* approximation theorem. The required continuous approximation result can be deduced from work of the first two authors on the obstacle problem – more precisely the Dirichlet problem with an obstacle function.

The discussion of this obstacle problem in [10] and [13] and its exact implementation in the context of almost complex analysis is somewhat scattered, and so, for clarity, we give a coherent exposition of the needed results in the first two sections of this note. Nevertheless, this note draws heavily on the work in [10], [12], [13], [18] and [19].

It is interesting to note that the work in [18] and [19] also involves solving the Dirichlet problem for the (almost) complex Monge-Ampère operator. In this case, however, the solutions are taken in the smooth category using results in [17], where the techniques are quite different from the viscosity methods employed in [10], [12], [13]. The idea of using the Monge-Ampère equation to approximate J-plurisubharmonic functions is probably due to J.-P. Rosay.

Remark. The main proof in this paper consists of combining a Richberg-type theorem (cf. [18, Thm. 3.1], [11, Thm. 9.10]) with the continuous approximation theorem which follows from solving the obstacle problem. The method applies generally to give smooth approximation of F-subharmonic functions whenever these two components can be established. An example is given in Appendix B where smooth approximation is established for subsolutions of the complex Hessian equations on a Kähler manifold.

2. The Obstacle Problem and Continuous Approximation for General Potential Theories.

We refer the reader to [10] or [13] for the concepts and terminology employed in this section.

Let $J^2(X) \to X$ be the bundle of 2-jets of real-valued functions on a manifold X. There is a natural splitting $J^2(X) = \mathbb{R} \times J^2_{\rm red}(X)$ where the first factor corresponds to the value of the function.

Consider a subequation of the form $F = \mathbb{R} \times F_0$ with $F_0 \subset J^2_{\rm red}(X)$. For a domain $\Omega \subset\subset X$, let $F(\overline{\Omega})$ denote the set of $u \in {\rm USC}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u\big|_\Omega$ is F-subharmonic (i.e., $u\big|_\Omega$ is a viscosity F-subsolution, cf. [2], [3]).

THEOREM 2.1. (The Obstacle Problem). *Suppose that:*

(1) F_0 is locally affinely jet-equivalent to a constant coefficient (reduced) subequation $\mathbf{F}_0$,

(2) F_0 has a monotonicity cone M_0 and X carries a C^2 strictly M-subharmonic function ψ where $M = \mathbb{R} \times M_0$,

(3) $g \in C(X)$, and

(4) $\Omega \subset\subset X$ is a domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ which is both F- and $\widetilde{F}$-strictly convex.

Then the function

$$h(x) \;\equiv\; \sup_{u \in \mathcal{F}[g]} u(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}[g] \;\equiv\; \{u(x) : u \in F(\overline{\Omega})$ and $u \le g$ on $\overline{\Omega}\}$, satisfies:

(i) $h \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap F(\overline{\Omega})$,

(ii) $h \le g$ *on* $\overline{\Omega}$

(iii) $h\big|_{\partial\Omega} \;=\; g\big|_{\partial\Omega}$

Furthermore,

(v) h is the Perron function, and $\mathcal{F}[g]$ is the Perron family, for the Dirichlet problem for the subequation

$$F^g \;\equiv (\mathbb{R}_- + g) \times F_0 \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

with boundary function $\varphi \equiv g\big|_{\partial\Omega}$.

(vi) Comparison holds for F^g on X.

COROLLARY 2.2. (Continuous Strict Approximation). *Suppose* $u \in F(\overline{\Omega})$.

(a) Then there exists a sequence of functions $u_j \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap F(\overline{\Omega})$ *decreasing down to* u *on* $\overline{\Omega}$. *In fact, if* $\{g_j\} \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ *is any sequence of continuous functions decreasing down to* u, *the* $\{u_j\} \subset C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap F(\overline{\Omega})$ *can be chosen so that*

$$u \;\leq\; u_j \;\leq\; g_j \qquad \forall\, j. \tag{2.2}$$

(b) Moreover, given $\epsilon_j \downarrow 0$, *the sequence* $\{u_j + \epsilon_j \psi\}$ *also decreases down to* u *on* $\overline{\Omega}$, *and on each compact subset of* Ω, *the functions* $\{u_j + \epsilon_j \psi\}$ *are* c*-strict for some* $c > 0$.

See 2.3 below for a definition and discussion of c-strictness.

Proof of Corollary 2.2. Pick $g_j \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $g_j \downarrow u$. Let u_j denote the solution of the obstacle problem for g_j. Then $u_j \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap F(\overline{\Omega})$ and $u_j \leq g_j$. Since u is in the Perron family $\mathcal{F}[g_j]$, we have (2.2). This proves Part (a). Part (b) follows from (a) and hypothesis (2). ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The following is proved in [10] but not stated explicitly as a theorem. It is however stated explicitly as Theorem 8.1.2 in [13] and the proof is given there based on results in [10]

THEOREM 8.1.2 in [13]. *Suppose* F *is a subequation on a manifold* X *which is locally affinely jet-equivalent to a constant coefficient subequation. Suppose there exists a* C^2 *strictly* M*-subharmonic function on* X *where* M *is a monotonicity cone for* F. *Then for every domain* $\Omega \subset\subset X$ *whose boundary is strictly* F*- and* $\widetilde{F}$*-convex, both existence and uniqueness hold for the Dirichlet problem. That is, for every* $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)$ *there exists a unique* F*-harmonic function* $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ *with* $u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi$.

The adaptation to the general Obstacle Problem is given in Section 8.6 of [13]. What follows is a more detailed version of that argument.

By assumption we know that $F = \mathbb{R} \times F_0$ is affinely jet equivalent to the constant coefficient equation $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{F}_0 \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{J}^2_{\rm red}$, with a jet equivalence which is the identity on the first factor. Hence the subequation

$$F^g \;\equiv\; \{r \leq g(x)\} \times F_0$$

is locally affinely jet equivalent to the subequation

$$\mathbf{F}^g \;\equiv\; \{r \leq g(x)\} \times \mathbf{F}_0$$

We now consider the affine jet equivalence

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{J}^2_{\rm red} \;\longrightarrow\; \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{J}^2_{\rm red}$$

given by

$$\Phi(r, J) \;\equiv\; (r - g(x), J).$$

Applying this gives the local equivalence

$$\Phi : \mathbf{F}^g \;\longrightarrow\; \{r \le 0\} \times \mathbf{F}_0 \;\equiv\; \mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbf{F}_0,$$

and so composing this with the first equivalence shows that F^g is locally affinely jet-equivalent to the constant coefficient subequation $\mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbf{F}_0$.

Now observe that if M_0 is a monotonicity cone for F_0, then $M_- \equiv \mathbb{R}_- \times M_0$ is a monotonicity cone for F^g.

Note also that if ψ is strictly M-subharmonic function, then so is $\psi - c$ for any constant $c \le 0$ because M satisfies the basic negativity condition (N). Given a domain $\Omega \subset\subset X$, we may therefore assume that $\psi < 0$ on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. In this case, ψ is also M_--strictly subharmonic on $\overline{\Omega}$.

Since F^g is locally jet-equivalent[1] to a constant coefficient subequation, local weak comparison holds for F^g. This is Theorem 10.1 in [10] and follows from the Theorem on Sums. Local weak comparison implies weak comparison (Theorem 8.3 in [10]). Now using Theorems 9.5 and 9.2 we have that comparison holds for F^g on X.

The Dirichlet Problem for F^g-harmonics would now be solvable for arbitrarily prescribed boundary data $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)$, (by either Theorem 12.4 in [10] or Theorem 8.1.2 above) if one could prove that the boundary is strictly F^g and $\widetilde{F^g}$ convex.

However, this is not true in general, and in fact existence fails for a boundary function $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)$ unless $\varphi \le g\big|_{\partial\Omega}$. Nevertheless, *if $\partial\Omega$ is both F and $\widetilde{F}$ strictly convex, then existence holds for each boundary function $\varphi \le g\big|_{\partial\Omega}$.* Section 8.6 in [13] provides a proof of this.

Here we give a proof but with attention restricted to the case at hand where $\varphi = g\big|_{\partial\Omega}$. The Perron family for F^g with this boundary data consists of those functions $u \in \mathrm{USC}(\overline{\Omega})$ which are F-subharmonic on Ω and satisfy the additional constraint that $u \le g$ on Ω. The dual subequation to F^g is $\widetilde{F^g} = [(\mathbb{R}_- - g) \times J^2_{\mathrm{red}}(X)] \cup \widetilde{F}$. Since $\widetilde{F^g} \subset \widetilde{F}$, the $\partial\Omega$ is strictly $\widetilde{F^g}$-convex if it is strictly $\widetilde{F}$-convex. However, $\partial\Omega$ can never be strictly F^g-convex, as defined in Definition 11.10 of [10], because $(\overrightarrow{F_\lambda})_x = \emptyset$ for $\lambda > g(x)$),

Nevertheless, the only place that this hypothesis is used in proving Theorem 8.1.2 for H is in the barrier construction which appears in the proof of Proposition F in [10]. With $\varphi(x_0) = g(x_0)$, the barrier $\beta(x)$ as defined in (12.1) in [10] is not only F-strict near x_0 but also automatically F^g-strict since $\beta < g$ in a neighborhood of x_0. ∎

[1]See Appendix A for a discussion of jet-equivalence.

Definition 2.3. (Strictness). Let $F \subset J^2(X)$ be a subequation. A function $u \in F(\Omega)$ is **strictly F-subharmonic** (or simply **strict**) if for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $u + \epsilon\varphi \in F(\Omega)$.

Note that a C^2-function $u \in F(\Omega)$ is strict iff $J^2_x u \in \mathrm{Int}F \ \forall\, x \in \Omega$.

In [10] there is the following related concept of c-strictness for $c > 0$. Equip $J^2(X)$ with a bundle metric (induced, say, from a riemannian metric on X), and for $x \in X$, define $F^c_x \equiv \{J \in F_x : \mathrm{dist}_x(J, \sim F) \geq c\}$ where dist_x denotes the distance in the fibre. A function $u \in F(\Omega)$ is said to be **c-strict** on a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ if u is F^c-subharmonic on a neighborhood of K. The constant c depends on the choice of bundle metric, but the condition of being c-strict on K for some $c > 0$ does not. Strictness, as defined above, is equivalent to being locally c-strict on Ω. (This is proved, though not explicitly stated, in §7 of [10].)

Remark 2.4. The main conclusion of Theorem 2.1 above can be stated in more appealing and succinct terms. Let us call the function h, defined in (2.1), the **largest F-subharmonic minorant of g**. Then we have the following abbreviated version of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2.

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose $X, F = \mathbb{R} \times F_0$ and Ω are as in Theorem 2.1. Then given $g \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, the largest F-subharmonic minorant of g on $\overline{\Omega}$ is continuous and equals g on the boundary of Ω.*

Moreover, given $u \in F(\overline{\Omega})$ there exists a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap F(\overline{\Omega})$ decreasing down to u (with each u_j strict).

3. Strict Continuous Approximation of Plurisubharmonic Functions on Almost Complex Manifolds

Let (X, J) be an almost complex manifold, and let $F(J) \subset J^2_{\mathrm{red}}(X)$ be the subequation defining the upper semi-continuous J-plurisubharmonic functions on X. (It is shown in [12] that all the different basic definitions of these functions are, in a precise sense, equivalent).[2]

Proposition 4.5 in the paper [12] proves that the subequation $F(J)$ is locally jet equivalent to a constant coefficient reduced subequation (in fact to the standard subequation $F(J_0) \cong \{i\partial\overline{\partial}u \geq 0\}$ determined by a standard parallel J_0).

Furthermore, $F(J)$ is a convex cone subequation and in particular it satisfies $F(J) + F(J) \subset F(J)$. Therefore, $F(J)$ is a monotonicity cone for itself. A C^2-function ψ is strictly J-plurisubharmonic (i.e., strictly $F(J)$-subharmonic) if $i\partial\overline{\partial}\psi > 0$ on X.

[2]It is also shown at the end of section 7 in [12] that the various notions of $F(J)$-harmonic (including the notion of being maximal and continuous) are equivalent.

Definition 3.1. A domain $\Omega \subset\subset X$ is called **strictly J-pseudoconvex** if it has a global C^2 defining function ψ which is strictly J-plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. Let $\widetilde{F}(J)$ denote the dual subequation. One checks that

$$F(J) + F(J) \subset F(J) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{F}(J) + F(J) \subset \widetilde{F}(J) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(J) \subset \widetilde{F}(J),$$

so if $\partial\Omega$ is strictly $F(J)$-convex, it is automatically strictly $\widetilde{F}(J)$-convex.

Thus, as a special case of Theorem 2.5 we have the following.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset\subset X$ be a strictly J-pseudoconvex domain in an almost complex manifold (X, J). Let $g \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. Then the largest J-plurisubharmonic minorant of g is continuous.*
Moreover, given $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega})$ there exists a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \mathrm{PSH}(\overline{\Omega})$ decreasing down to u (with each u_j strict).

We now address the global question of continuous approximation of J-plurisubharmonic functions on X.

Definition 3.3. An almost complex manifold (X, J) is **J-pseudoconvex** if it has a global C^2 strictly J-plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. (See Remark 3.7 below for equivalent definitions.)

It is standard that a strictly J-pseudoconvex domain Ω is itself J-pseudoconvex.

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose X is a J-pseudoconvex manifold. Then for each $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X)$ there exists a sequence of continuous strictly J-plurisubharmonic functions $u_j \in C(X)$ decreasing down to u on X.*

Proof. We shall adapt a part of the proof of the Theorem 1 from [19]. Take a decreasing sequence of continuous functions $\{g_k\}$ converging down to u. We begin with a result in smooth topology.

Claim 3.5. Let h be an arbitrary continuous function on X, and suppose that $\rho : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 (proper) exhaustion function. Then there exists a convex function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi' \geq 1$ so that

$$\chi(\rho(x)) \ \geq \ h(x) \qquad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. Set $\psi(t) \equiv \sup\{h(x) : \rho(x) \leq t\}$ and note that

$$\chi(\rho(x)) \ \geq \ h(x) \ \ \forall\, x \in X \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \chi(t) \ \geq \ \psi(t) \ \ \forall\, t \in \mathrm{range}(\rho).$$

This reduces the claim to a one-variable claim. To establish this, assume that $\mathrm{range}(\rho) = [0, \infty)$ and replace ψ by a smooth function which is larger. Then choose $\chi \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ to have $\chi(0) = \psi(0)$, $\chi'(0) \geq \max\{\psi'(0), 1\}$ and $\chi'' \geq \max\{\psi'', 0\}$. ∎

Now let $\rho \in C^\infty(X)$ be a strictly J-plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. For any smooth convex, increasing function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $\chi' \geq 1$, the

composition $\chi \circ \rho$ is also a smooth strictly J-plurisubharmonic exhaustion. Thus, by Claim 3.5, with h taken to be g_1 plus any exhaustion function for X, we can assume ρ is chosen so that

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} (\rho(z) - g_1(z)) = +\infty \tag{3.1}$$

where $\lim_{z\to\infty}$ denotes the limit in the one-point compactification of X.

By (3.1) the sets $U_k \equiv \{\rho > g_1 + k\}$ provide a fundamental neighborhood system for the point at infinity. Since ρ is an exhaustion, we have that $\{\rho - k \geq t\} \subset U_k$ if t is sufficiently large. By Sard's Theorem we may choose such t to be a regular value t_k of $\rho - k$. Then $\Omega_k \equiv \{\rho - k < t_k\}$ is a strictly J-pseudoconvex domain, and

$$\rho - k \ > \ g_1 \ (\geq \ g_k) \quad \text{on a neighborhood of} \quad \sim \Omega_k. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence,

$$\widetilde{g}_k \ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ \max\{g_k, \rho - k\} \ = \ \rho - k \quad \text{on a neighborhood of} \quad \sim \Omega_k. \tag{3.3}$$

Now let u_k be the largest J-psh minorant of $\tilde{g}_k$ on Ω_k, and note that u_k is continuous by Theorem 3.2. By (3.3) we have $\tilde{g}_k = \rho - k$ on a neighborhood of $\sim \Omega_k$. Since $\rho - k$ is J-psh, and u_k is the largest J-psh minorant of $\tilde{g}_k$, we have $u_k = \rho - k$ on a neighborhood of $\sim \Omega_k$. Thus we can extend u_k as a J-psh function to all of X by setting $u_k = \rho - k$ on $\sim \Omega_k$.

Note that since $\widetilde{g}_k \equiv \max\{g_k, \rho - k\}$, $g_{k+1} \leq g_k$, and $g_k \downarrow u$, one has

$$\widetilde{g}_{k+1} \ \leq \ \widetilde{g}_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{g}_k \ \downarrow \ u. \tag{3.4}$$

By definition

$$u_k \leq \widetilde{g}_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_k = \widetilde{g}_k \ \text{ on } \ \sim \Omega_k. \tag{3.5}$$

Now since $u_{k+1} \leq \widetilde{g}_{k+1}$, and since u_k is the largest J-psh minorant of $\widetilde{g}_k$ on $\overline{\Omega}_k$, we have by (3.4) that $u_{k+1} \leq u_k$ on $\overline{\Omega}_k$. On the complement $\sim \Omega_k$, we have $u_k = \widetilde{g}_k$ and so $u_{k+1} \leq u_k$ again by (3.4) and (3.5). Hence,

$$u_{k+1} \ \leq \ u_k \qquad \text{on } X. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $u \leq \widetilde{g}_k$ is J-psh and u_k is the largest such minorant on $\overline{\Omega}_k$, we have that $u \leq u_k$ on $\overline{\Omega}_k$. On the complement $\sim \Omega_k$, we have $u_k = \widetilde{g}_k$ and so $u \leq u_k$ there as well. Hence,

$$u \ \leq \ u_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_k \ \downarrow \ u \qquad \text{on } X.$$

In other words $\{u_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence of continuous J-psh functions decreasing down to u on X, and we can replace u_k with $u_k + \frac{1}{k}\rho$ to make u_k strict. ∎

Remark 3.7. (Equivalent Definitions of J-Pseudoconvexity). In defining J-pseudoconvexity it is enough to assume the existence of a *continuous* strictly J-plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $\rho : X \to \mathbb{R}$. This

follows from the extension of Richberg's Theorem to almost complex manifolds (Theorem 3.1 in [18]). Such manifolds are called *almost Stein manifolds* in [4].

J-Pseudoconvex manifolds (X, J) can also be characterized in terms of the hulls of compact sets (see (4.1) below) by requiring that:

(i) There exists some $u \in \mathrm{PSH}^\infty(X, J)$ which is strict, and

(ii) For every compact $K \subset X$, the hull $\widehat{K}_{C^\infty}$ is compact.

By Theorem 3.1 in [18] we have that the hulls $\widehat{K}_{C^0} = \widehat{K}_{C^\infty}$ agree (see Corollary 4.3 below). Therefore, J-Pseudoconvex manifolds can also be characterized by the requiring:

(i) There exists some $u \in \mathrm{PSH}^0(X, J)$ which is strict, and

(ii) For every compact $K \subset X$, the hull $\widehat{K}_{C^0}$ is compact.

For the proof one applies standard arguments (cf. [11, §4] or [9, Prop. 9.3]) to show that (i) and (ii) imply the existence of a strict PSH-exhaustion (in either case).

4. Strict Smooth Approximation of Plurisubharmonic Functions on Almost Complex Manifolds

THEOREM 4.1. (C^∞ Strict Approximation). *Suppose (X, J) is an almost complex manifold which is J-pseudoconvex, and let $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, J)$ be a J-plurisubharmonic function. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $\{u_j\} \subset C^\infty(X)$ of smooth strictly J-plurisubhrmonic functions such that $u_j(x) \downarrow u(x)$ at each $x \in X$.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.1 in [18] and Theorem 3.4 above. ∎

This generalizes Theorem 1 in [19] to arbitrary dimensions.

COROLLARY 4.2. (Local C^∞ Strict Approximation). *Let (X, J) be an arbitrary (smooth) almost complex manifold. Then every point $x \in X$ has a fundamental system of neighborhoods U with the property that for every $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(U, J)$ there is a decreasing sequence $\{u_j\} \subset C^\infty(U)$ of strictly J-plurisubharmonic functions such that $u_j \downarrow u$.*

Proof. Fix local coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ for X near x so that J is C^1-close to the standard J_0 at the origin. Then $\chi(z) = |z|^2$ is strictly J-psh on the ball $B_\epsilon(0) = \{|z| < \epsilon\}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. It is standard that any domain which admits a C^2 strictly J-plurisubharmonic defining function, is J-pseudoconvex. ∎

One can also give a more direct proof of Corollary 4.2 based on Theorem 3.2 above and Theorem 3.1 in [18].

Another immediate consequence of the global approximation Theorem 4.1 is that all the various possible definitions of the hull of a set actually agree.

Given a compact set $K \subset X$ we define its **J-plurisubharmonic hull** to be the set

$$\widehat{K} \equiv \left\{ x \in X : u(x) \leq \sup_K u \ \ \forall\, u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X,J) \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

One could also define $\widehat{K}_{C^0}$ and $\widehat{K}_{C^\infty}$ by replacing $\mathrm{PSH}(X,J)$ in (3.4) with $\mathrm{PSH}^0(X,J) \equiv \mathrm{PSH}(X,J) \cap C(X)$ and $\mathrm{PSH}^\infty(X,J) \equiv \mathrm{PSH}(X,J) \cap C^\infty(X)$ respectively.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose (X,J) is J-pseudoconvex. Then for any compact $K \subset X$, one has $\widehat{K} = \widehat{K}_{C^0} = \widehat{K}_{C^\infty}$.*

Proof. Clearly $\widehat{K} \subset \widehat{K}_{C^0} \subset \widehat{K}_{C^\infty}$, so it suffices to show that $\widehat{K}_{C^\infty} \subset \widehat{K}$. Suppose that $x \notin \widehat{K}$. Then there exists $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X,J)$ with $u \leq 0$ on K and $u(x) = 1$. Replace u with $\max\{u, 0\}$. Let $\{u_j\}$ be the sequence given in Theorem 4.1. Then u_j converges uniformly to 0 on the compact set K and $u_j(x) \geq 1$ for all j. Hence, $x \notin \widehat{K}_{C^\infty}$. ∎

Appendix A. Affine Jet-Equivalence.

A local affine jet-equivalence is a local isomorphism of the 2-jet bundle $\mathbf{J}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is of the form:

$$r' = r + r_0(x), \quad p' = k(x)p + p_0(x), \quad A' = h(x)Ah(x)^t + L_x(p) + A_0(x)$$

where

$r_0(x)$ takes values in $\mathbb{R}$,
$p_0(x)$ takes values in $\mathbb{R}^n$,
$A_0(x)$ takes values in $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
(i.e., $J_0(x) \equiv (r_0(x), p_0(x), A_0(x))$ is a section of $\mathbf{J}(\mathbb{R}^n)$)

and

$k(x)$ and $h(x)$ take values in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, while
L_x takes values in $\mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$

The regularity conditions on the jet-equivalence required in the proof of Theorem 10.1 in [10] are:

(1) k, h and L are Lipschitz continuous, and

(2) J_0 is continuous.

For the second jet equivalence in our application to the Obstacle Problem, $g \equiv h \equiv Id$ and $J_0(x) = (r_0(x), 0, 0)$, so our obstacle function $g(x) = -r_0(x)$ need only be continuous.

Appendix B. Σ_m-Subharmonic Functions.

As noted in Remark 1.3, for any subequation F, smooth approximation for F-subharmonic functions can be proved whenever continuous approximation and a Richberg-type theorem can be established for F. In this appendix we give just such a result for the complex hessian subequations on a Kähler manifold.

Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n with a fixed Kähler form ω. We say that a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ is Σ_m-subharmonic on a domain $\Omega \subset\subset X$ if $(dd^c u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \geq 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$. We say that a locally integrable function

$$u : \Omega \to [-\infty, +\infty)$$

is Σ_m-subharmonic ($u \in \Sigma_m(\Omega)$) if u is upper semicontinuous and

$$dd^c u \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dd^c u_{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq 0,$$

for any $\mathcal{C}^2$ Σ_m-subharmonic functions $u_1, \ldots u_{m-1}$ (they are defined in [1] for $\omega = \omega_{st} = dd^c(|z|^2)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and in [5] and [14] for general Kähler form). This is just the subequation $F \equiv \Sigma_m$ defined on X by the condition that the first m elementary symmetric functions of the complex hessian satisfy $\sigma_\ell(\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}} u) \geq 0$ for $\ell = 1, ..., m$ (compare Example 18.1 in [10] and Lemma 7 in [20]).

A Richberg-type theorem for Σ_m was proved in [20] (Theorem 2). Lu and Nguyen proved in [15] that on compact Kähler manifolds any quasi-Σ_m-subharmonic function can be approximated from above by smooth quasi-Σ_m-subharmonic functions (a function u is quasi-Σ_m-subharmonic if the function $u + \rho$ is Σ_m-subharmonic where ρ is local potential for ω). Actually their global result implies that locally it is possible to regularize Σ_m-subharmonic functions. However, in the same way as in Theorem 4.1, we can prove a slightly stronger result.

THEOREM B.1. *Let X be a Σ_m-pseudoconvex Kähler manifold. Let u be a Σ_m-subharmonic function on X. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $u_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ of Σ_m-subharmonic functions such that $u_j \downarrow u$.*

By **Σ_m-pseudoconvex** we mean that X has a global $\mathcal{C}^2$ strictly Σ_m-subharmonic exhaustion function. In particular Stein manifolds are Σ_m-pseudoconvex.

References

[1] Z. Błocki, *Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier 55 (2005), 1735-1756.

[2] M. G. Crandall, *Viscosity solutions: a primer*, pp. 1-43 in "Viscosity Solutions and Applications" Ed.'s Dolcetta and Lions, SLNM **1660**, Springer Press, New York, 1997.

[3] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii and P. L. Lions *User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.) **27** (1992), 1-67.

[4] K. Diederich and A. Sukhov, *Plurisubharmonic exhaustion functions and almost complex Stein structures*, Michigan Math. J. **56** (2008), no. 2, 331-355.

[5] S. Dinew and S. Kołodziej, *A priori estimates for complex Hessian equations*, Anal. PDE 7 (2014), no. 1, 227-244.

[6] J. E. Fornæss, *Plurisubharmonic functions on smooth domains*, Math. Scand. **53** (1983), no. 1, 33-38.

[7] J. E. Fornæss and J. Wiegerinck, *Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ark. Mat. **27** (1989), no. 2, 257-272.

[8] F. R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Dirichlet duality and the non-linear Dirichlet problem*, Comm. on Pure and Applied Math. **62** (2009), 396-443. ArXiv:math.0710.3991.

[9] ———, *Plurisubharmonicity in a general geometric context*, Geometry and Analysis **1** (2010), 363-401. arXiv:0804.1316

[10] ———, *Dirichlet Duality and the Nonlinear Dirichlet Problem on Riemannian Manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **88** (2011), 395-482. arXiv:0912.5220.

[11] ———, *Geometric plurisubharmonicity and convexity - an introduction*, Advances in Math. **230** (2012), 2428-2456. arXiv:1111.3875.

[12] ———, *Potential theory on almost complex manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (to appear). ArXiv: 1107.2584.

[13] ———, *Existence, uniqueness and removable singularities for nonlinear partial differential equations in geometry*, pp. 102-156 in "Surveys in Differential Geometry 2013", vol. 18, H.-D. Cao and S.-T. Yau eds., International Press, Somerville, MA, 2013. arXiv:1303.1117.

[14] H. C. Lu, *Solutions to degenerate complex Hessian equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 100 (2013), no. 6, 785-805.

[15] H. C. Lu and V.-D. Nguyen, *Degenerate complex Hessian equations on compact Kähler manifolds*, arXiv:1402.5147.

[16] N. Pali, *Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et courants positifs de type* $(1,1)$ *sur une variété presque complexe*, Manuscripta Math. **118** (2005), no. 3, 311-337.

[17] S. Pliś, *The Monge-Ampère equation on almost complex manifolds*, Math. Z. **276** (2014), no. 3-4, 969-983.

[18] ———, *Monge-Ampère operator on four dimensional almost complex manifolds*, ArXiv: 1305.3461.

[19] ———, *On regularization of J-plurisubharmonic functions*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.I (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.11.001.

[20] ———, *The smoothing of m-subharmonic functions*, arXiv:1312.1906.

Dept. of Mathematics, Rice University, 6100 Main St., Houston, 77005 TX, U.S.A., *E-mail address:* **harvey@rice.edu**

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11790, U.S.A., *E-mail address:* **blaine@math.sunysb.edu**

Institute of Mathematics, Cracow University of Technology, Warszawska 24, 31-155 Kraków, Poland, *E-mail address:* **splis@pk.edu.pl**